Radulotubus resupinatus gen. et sp. nov. with a poroid hymenophore in Pterulaceae (Agaricales, Basidiomycota)
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Abstract: A new poroid wood-inhabiting fungal genus, Radulotubus, is proposed to accommodate the type species R. resupinatus sp. nov. based on morphological and molecular evidence. The genus is characterized by an annual and resupinate basidiocarps, white to cream pores when fresh, darkening after bruising and drying, a monomitic hyphal system with clamped generative hyphae, pleural basidia, and globose, hyaline, thin- to slightly thick-walled, smooth, basidiospores without reactions in Melzer's reagent and Cotton Blue. The phylogenetic analyses based on ITS and nLSU sequences showed that Radulotubus belonged to the Pterulaceae clade in Agaricales, and was closely related to Aphanobasidium and Radulomyces that included species with smooth to hydnoid hymenophore. Radulotubus is the sole genus with poroid hymenophore in Pterulaceae.
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Introduction

The Agaricales is the largest clade of mushroom-forming Agaricomycetes including 13,233 described species level taxa in 413 genera and 33 families (Kirk et al. 2008). Pterulaceae Corner, typified by Pterula Fr., was established by Corner (1970), and the family is characterized by resupinate to effused or coralliform basidiocarps, a monomitic to dimitic hyphal structure, presence of pleural basidia in some genera, and globose, ellipsoid or fusiform, hyaline, thin- to slightly thick-walled, smooth, inamyloid, indextrinoid, acyanophilous basidiospores (Donk 1964, Corner 1970, Oberwinkler 1977, Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Species of Pterulaceae are of ecological and economic importance, for some are key players in the carbon cycle (Floudas et al. 2012), and some have potential application in biomedical engineering and biodegradation (Lang et al. 2006, Floudas et al. 2015).
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Recently, molecular studies involving Pterulaceae based on single- or multi-gene datasets have been carried out, grouping the family with Clavariaceae Chevall., Lachnellaceae Boud. and Stephanoспорaceae Oberw. & E.Horak phylogenetically nested in Agaricales. Pterulaceae includes the type genus of *Pterula* and several other corticioid or clavarioid genera, such as *Aphanobasidium* Jülich, *Coronicium* J.Erikss. & Ryvarden, *Merulicium* J. Erikss. & Ryvarden, *Pterula* Fr. and *Radulomyces* M.P.Christ. (Larsson et al. 2004, Matheny et al. 2006, Hibbett et al. 2007, Larsson 2007, Oberwinkler 2012). Based on ITS and nLSU sequences, Larsson et al. (2004) showed that *Coronicium alboglaucum* (Bourdot & Galzin) Jülich, *R. molaris* (Chaillet ex Fr.) M.P.Christ. and *R. rickii* (Bres.) M.P.Christ. were nested within the euagarics clade. Further studies employing a five-gene datasets have helped to confirm the close relationship of these corticioid species with *Pterula echo* D.J.McLaughlin & E.G.McLaughlin in Pterulaceae (Matheny et al. 2006). Later, *Aphanobasidium pseudotsugae* (Burt) Boidin & Gilles and *Merulicium fusisporum* (Romell) J. Erikss. & Ryvarden were added to the Pterulaceae clade (Larsson 2007). Oberwinkler (2012) studied the evolutionary trends in basidiomycota and proposed that evolution was not a single one, but occurred several times convergently, that molecular techniques took over rapidly and were dominating now than traditionally comparative morphology.

The diversity of the corticioid fungi in China is not well known, though more than 500 species have been reported, including some new species described in the country (Dai & Li 2010, Dai 2011, He & Dai 2012, He & Li 2013, Zhou & Dai 2012, 2013). Recently, several wood-inhabiting fungal specimens collected from southern tropical China with strictly resupinate basidiocarps and poroid hymenophore, were re-studied and sequenced. Morphologically, they did not fit any of the known poroid genera. To confirm the affinity of this taxon, phylogenetic analyses were carried out based on the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions and the large subunit nuclear ribosomal RNA gene (nLSU) sequences.

**Materials and methods**

The studied specimens are deposited at the herbarium of Beijing Forestry University (BJFC). Macro-morphological descriptions are based on field notes. Special colour terms follow Petersen (1996). Micro-morphological data were obtained from the dried specimens, and observed under a light microscope following Zhao et al. (2013). The following abbreviations were used: KOH = 5% potassium hydroxide, CB = Cotton Blue, CB- = acyanophilous, IKI- = both inamyloid and indextrinoid, L = mean spore length (arithmetic average of all spores), W = mean spore width (arithmetic average of all spores), Q = variation in the L/W ratios between the specimens studied, n (a/b) = number of spores (a) measured from given number (b) of specimens.

A CTAB rapid plant genome extraction kit-DN14 (Aidlab Biotechnologies Co., Ltd, Beijing) was used to obtain PCR products from dried specimens, according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some modifications. ITS region was amplified with primer pairs ITS5 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). Nuclear LSU region was amplified with primer pairs LR0R and LR7 (http://www.biology.duke.edu/fungi/mycolab/primers.htm). The PCR procedure for ITS was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 40 s, 58°C for 45 s and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR procedure for nLSU was as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 48°C 1 min and 72°C for 1.5 min, and a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified and directly sequenced at Beijing Genomics Institute. All newly generated sequences were deposited at GenBank (Table 1).
Table 1. A list of species, specimens, and GenBank accession number of sequences used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aphanobasidium pseudotsugae</em> (Burt) Boidin &amp; Gilles</td>
<td>HHB-822</td>
<td>GU187509 GU187567</td>
<td>Larsson 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pseudotsugae</em></td>
<td>UC 2023143</td>
<td>KP814192</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pseudotsugae</em></td>
<td>UC 2022905</td>
<td>KP814258</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pseudotsugae</em></td>
<td>UC 2022912</td>
<td>KP814259</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. pseudotsugae</em></td>
<td>UC 2023153</td>
<td>KP814353</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Athelidium aurantiacum</em> (M.P.Christ.) Oberw. KHL 11068</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>EU118606</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Athelia pyriformis</em> (M.P.Christ.) Jülich</td>
<td>HJm 18581</td>
<td>EU118605</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chondrostereum purpureum</em> (Pers.) Pouzar</td>
<td>AFTOL-ID 441</td>
<td>DQ200929</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clavaria fumosa</em> Pers. Clavulinopsis helvola* (Pers.) Corner</td>
<td>MR 00170</td>
<td>JN214482</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. laeticolor</em> (Berk. &amp; M.A.Curtis) R.H.Petersen</td>
<td>EL 111/04</td>
<td>EU118617</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coniophora olivacea</em> (Fr.) P.Karst.</td>
<td>NFLI 2000-86/2</td>
<td>EU118623 EU118623</td>
<td>Larsson 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cystidiodontia lamellata</em> Berk. &amp; M.A.Curtis)</td>
<td>KHL 13057</td>
<td>EU118622</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gloeostereum incarnatum</em> S.Ito &amp; S.Imai</td>
<td>KUC20131022-28</td>
<td>KJ668540</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lichenophialia umbellifera</em> (L.) Redhead, Moncalvo &amp; Vilgalys</td>
<td>Rova 2501</td>
<td>EU118645</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lindneria trachyspora</em> (Bourdot &amp; Galzin) Pilát Merulicium fusisporum*</td>
<td>KGN 390/00</td>
<td>EU118646</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Radulomyces confluens</em> (Fr.) M.P.Christ.</td>
<td>Cui 5977</td>
<td>KU535661 KU535669</td>
<td>In the present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. confluens</em></td>
<td>He 2224</td>
<td>KU535662 KU535670</td>
<td>In the present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. confluens</em></td>
<td>KHL 8792</td>
<td>AY463458 AY586704</td>
<td>Matheny et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Matheny et al. 2006
Sequencher 4.6 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was used to edit the DNA sequence. Sequences were aligned in MAFFT 6 (Katoh & Toh 2008; http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) using the "G-INS-I" strategy and manually adjusted in BioEdit (Hall 1999). The sequence alignment was deposited in TreeBase (submission ID 18756). Sequences of Coniophora olivacea Sacc. and C. puteana (Schumach.) P.Karst. obtained from GenBank were used as outgroups to root tree in the ITS analyses (Larsson 2007). Sequences of Athelidium aurantiacum (M.P.Christ.) Oberw. and Cristinia helvetica (Pers.) Parmasto, obtained from GenBank, were used as outgroups to root trees in nLSU and ITS+nLSU analyses. Clade names follow Larsson (2007).

Maximum parsimony analyses were applied to the ITS, nLSU and ITS+nLSU datasets separately. Approaches to phylogenetic analyses followed Zhao et al. (2013), and the tree construction was performed in PAUP* version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). All characters were equally weighted and gaps were treated as missing data. Trees were inferred using the heuristic search option with TBR branch swapping and 1000 random sequence additions. Max-trees were set to 5000, branches of zero length were collapsed and all parsimonious trees were saved. Clade robustness was assessed using a bootstrap (BT) analysis with 1,000 replicates (Felsenstein 1985). Descriptive tree statistics tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), rescaled consistency index (RC), and homoplasy index (HI) were calculated for each Maximum Parsimonious Tree (MPT) generated. Sequences were also analyzed using Maximum Likelihood (ML) with RAxML-HPC2 on Abe through the Cipres Science Gateway (www.phylo.org; Miller et al. 2009). Branch support for ML analysis was determined by 1000 bootstrap replicates.

MrModeltest2.3 (Posada & Crandall 1998, Nylander 2004) was used to determine the best-fit evolution model for each dataset for Bayesian inference (BI). BI was calculated with MrBayes3.1.2 with a general time reversible (GTR) model of DNA substitution and a gamma distribution rate variation across sites (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). Four Markov chains were run for 2 runs from random starting trees for 1 million generations (ITS, nLSU and ITS+nLSU), and trees were sampled every 100 generations. The first one-fourth generations were discarded as burn-in. A majority rule consensus tree of all remaining trees was calculated. Branches that received bootstrap support for maximum likelihood (BS) and maximum parsimony (MP) greater than or equal to 75%, and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) greater than or equal to 0.95 were considered as significantly supported, respectively.

**Results**

The ITS dataset included sequences from 29 fungal samples representing 20 species. The dataset had an aligned length of 910 characters, of which 369 characters are constant, 124 are variable and parsimony-uninformative, and 417 are parsimony-uninformative.

\*Newly generated sequences for this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accession Numbers</th>
<th>In the present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>R. copelandii</em> (Pat.)</td>
<td>Dai 15061 KU535664 KU535672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hjortstam &amp; Spooner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. copelandii</em></td>
<td>Wu 9606-5 KU535663 KU535671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. molaris</em> (Chaillet ex Fr.) M.P.Christ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Radulotubus resupinatus</em></td>
<td>Cui 8383 KU535660 KU535668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y.C.Dai, S.H.He &amp; C.L.Zhao</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. resupinatus</em></td>
<td>Cui 8462 KU535657 KU535665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. resupinatus</em></td>
<td>Dai 15315 KU535658 KU535666</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. resupinatus</em></td>
<td>Cui 8445 KU535659 KU535667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Newly generated sequences for this study
informative. Maximum parsimony analysis yielded 8 equally parsimonious trees (TL = 1824, CI = 0.342, RI = 0.623, RC = 0.170, HI = 0.452). Best model for the ITS dataset estimated and applied in the Bayesian analysis: GTR+I+G, lset nst = 6, rates = invgamma; prset statefreqpr = dirichlet (1,1,1,1). Bayesian analysis and ML analysis resulted in a similar topology as MP analysis, with an average standard deviation of split frequencies = 0.004478 (BI). The phylogeny (Fig. 1) inferred from ITS sequences demonstrated six major clades of the Agaricales. The new genus Radulotubus clustered into the Pterulaceae clade and was closely related to Aphanobasidium and Radulomyces with strong support (97% BS, 82% BP, 1.00 BPP).

The nLSU dataset included sequences from 18 fungal samples representing 10 species. The dataset had an aligned length of 1416 characters, of which 1195 characters are constant, 96 are variable and parsimony-uninformative, and 125 are parsimony-informative. Maximum parsimony analysis yielded 4 equally parsimonious trees (TL = 395, CI = 0.684, RI = 0.719, RC = 0.492, HI = 0.317). Best model for the nLSU dataset estimated and applied in the Bayesian analysis: GTR+I+G, lset nst = 6, rates = invgamma; prset statefreqpr = dirichlet (1,1,1,1). Bayesian analysis and ML analysis resulted in a similar topology as MP analysis, with an average standard deviation of split frequencies = 0.005738 (BI). The phylogeny (Fig. 2) inferred from nLSU sequences showed that the new genus formed a monophyletic lineage and grouped with related genera Aphanobasidium and Radulomyces, and then grouped with genera of Coronicium, Merulicium and Pterula.

The combined dataset (ITS+nLSU) included sequences from 19 fungal samples representing 10 species. The dataset had an aligned length of 2192 characters, of which 1627 characters are constant, 218 are variable and parsimony-uninformative,
and 347 are parsimony-informative. Maximum parsimony analysis yielded 2 equally parsimonious trees (TL = 1553, CI = 0.682, RC = 0.432, HI = 0.318). Best model for the combined ITS+nLSU estimated and applied in the Bayesian analysis: GTR+I+G, lset nst = 6, rates = invgamma; prset statefreqpr = dirichlet (1,1,1,1). Bayesian analysis and ML analysis resulted in the similar topology with an average standard deviation of split frequencies = 0.004006. The phylogeny (Fig. 3) inferred from the combined ITS+nLSU sequences dataset in the Pterulaceae demonstrated a similar topology with that of phylogenetic analyses of nLSU sequences.

**Taxonomy**


Mycobank no.: MB 815760

**Diagnosis:** Differs from other genera by a combination of resupinate basidiocarps with poroid surface, a monomitic hyphal system with clamp connections on generative hyphae, presence of pleural basidia, and hyaline, thin-to slightly thick-walled, smooth, IKI-, CB-, basidiospores.

**Type species:** *Radulotubus resupinatus*.

**Etymology:** *Radulotubus* (Lat.): referring to scraped poroid hymenophore when bruised.

**Description:** Basidiocarps annual, resupinate, adnate, waxy to hygrophanous when fresh, becoming soft corky to slightly brittle when dry. Pore surface white to cream fresh pores, which become pale brown when bruised, and the bruised part becoming greyish brown to brown when dry. Hyphal system monomitic, generative hyphae
hylaine, thin- to thick-walled with clamp connections, IKI-, CB-. Basidia pleural. Basidiospores hyaline, thin-to slightly thick-walled, globose, IKI-, CB-.

**Radulotubus resupinatus** Y.C. Dai, S.H. He & C.L. Zhao, sp. nov. Figs 4, 5

MycoBank no.: MB 815761

**Diagnosis:** The species is distinct by its annual growth habit, poroid hymenophore with white surface, becoming pale brown to brown when bruised; a monomitic hyphal system with clamp connections, pleural basidia, and globose, hyaline, thin- to slightly thick-walled, smooth, IKI-, CB-, basidiospores.

**Holotypus:** CHINA. Yunnan Prov., Mengla County, Lvshilin Forest Park, on fallen angiosperm trunk, 1 November 2009, Cui 8383 (BJFC).

**Etymology:** *Resupinatus* (Lat.): referring to resupinate basidiocarps.

**Fruiting Body:** Basidiocarps annual, resupinate, waxy to hygrophanous, without odor or taste when fresh, becoming soft corky to slightly brittle when dry, up to 8 cm long, 4 cm wide, 3 mm thick at center. Pore surface white when fresh, cream to buff when dry, the fresh pores becoming pale brown to brown when bruised, the bruised part becoming greyish brown to brown when dry; pores round to angular, 2–4 per mm; dissepiments thin, entire to lacerate. Sterile margin narrow, white to cream, slightly fimbriate, up to 1 mm wide. Subiculum cream, membranous, up to 0.5 mm thick. Tubes concolorous with pore surface, brittle, up to 2.5 mm long.

**Hyphal Structure:** Hyphal system monomitic; generative hyphae hyaline, thin- to slightly thick-walled, bearing clamp connections, IKI-, CB-; tissues unchanged in KOH.
Fig. 4. Basidiocarps of *Radulotubus resupinatus* (A: Dai 15315; B & C: the holotype). Bars: A = 6 mm; B = 1 cm; C = 3 mm.
**Subiculum:** Generative hyphae hyaline, thin- to thick-walled, frequently with clamp connections, occasionally branched, more or less regularly arranged, 3–3.5 µm in diameter.
Tubes: Generative hyphae hyaline, thin- to thick-walled, frequently with clamp connections, occasionally branched, 2–3 μm in diameter. Cystidia and cystidioles absent; basidia distinctly pleural, pyriform to barrel-shaped, with four sterigmata and a basal clamp connection, 18–21 x 8–10 μm; basidioles dominant, in shape similar to basidia, but slightly smaller.

Spores: Basidiospores globose, hyaline, thin-to slightly thick-walled, smooth, usually bearing one guttule and a distinct apiculus, IKI-, CB-, (5–)5.5–6(–6.5) x (4.5–)5–5.5 (–6) μm, L = 5.74 μm, W = 5.3 μm, Q = 1.01–1.09 (n = 120/4).

Rot Type: A white rot.

Additional specimens (paratypes) examined: CHINA. Yunnan Prov., Mengla County, Lyshilin Forest Park, on fallen angiosperm trunk, 1 November 2009, Cui 8445 & Cui 8462 (BJFC); Guangxi Autonomous Region, Longchuan County, Nonggang Nature Reserve, 5 June 2015, Dai 15315 (BJFC).

Discussion

Larsson (2007) proposed a phylogenetic classification for corticioid fungi at the family level, in which Coronicium was as a sister to Merulicium and then grouped with Aphanobasidium in Pterulaceae on the basis of ITS+nLSU. But the present molecular phylogenetic analysis based on ITS+nLSU (Fig. 3) showed that Coronicium grouped with Merulicum and Pterula echo, and then clustered with Aphanobasidium pseudotsugae, Radulomyces confluens and Radulotubus resupinatus. Based on ITS sequences of Agaricales, Radulotubus resupinatus formed a distinct lineage in the Pterulaceae clade, and was closely related to Aphanobasidium and Radulomyces with strong support (97% BS, 82% BP, 1.00 BPP; Fig. 1). The phylogenetic analyses based on nLSU sequences of Pterulaceae yielded similar tree topology with ITS+nLSU datasets, and Radulotubus resupinatus also formed a distinct monophyletic lineage and clustered with Aphanobasidium and Radulomyces species with strong support in the phylogenetic trees (Figs 2, 3).

The type genus Pterula of Pterulaceae has become one of the largest among clavarioid fungi and surely most of them found in the tropics (Corner 1970). In present study, four sampled specimens were found in southern Yunnan Province and southwest of Guangxi Autonomous Region of China, between 20°09'–22°13'N and 101°05'–107°04'E, which are located in subtropics to tropics. The forests of our collections of Radulotubus resupinatus are subtropical to tropical vegetation, so R. resupinatus has more or less similar ecology as Pterula.

Kües and Navarro-González (2015) discussed the morphological aspects of fruiting bodies and their development in Agaricomycetes and other basidiomycetes, in which they concluded that: (1) genetic, physiological and environmental factors effecting morphological plasticity are addressed; (2) the shapes and features of wood-rotting fungi do not reveal close or distant phylogenetic relationships. Therefore, it is reasonable that basidiocarps with smooth, poroid, odontioid or grandinioid hymenophore grouped together in phylogenetic studies (Larsson et al. 2004, Matheny et al. 2006, Hibbett et al.
In the present molecular analyses (Figs 1, 2, 3), the genus Radulotubus groups with Aphanobasidium, Coronicium, Merulicium, Pterula and Radulomyces inferred from the ITS, nLSU and ITS+nLSU analyses. However, morphologically the genus Aphanobasidium differs from Radulotubus by its smooth hymenophore (Jülich 1979, Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Coronicium is distinct from Radulotubus by smooth hymenophore, and presence of leptocystidia and ellipsoid to navicular basidiospores (Eriksson & Ryvarden 1975, Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Merulicium is separated from the new taxon by having meruliodic hymenophore, a dimitic hyphal system and presence of leptocystidia and fusiform basidiospores (Eriksson & Ryvarden 1976, Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Pterula differs from Radulotubus by erect, branched to simple basidiomes, a dimitic hyphal system and ellipsoid to cylindrical basidiospores (Fries 1825, Senthilarasu 2013). Radulomyces differs from Radulotubus by its smooth, tuberculate, odontioid to hydnoid hymenophore, and smooth or minutely ornamented basidiospores (Christiansen 1960, Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Although it is easy to distinct Radulotubus from all the other genera in Pterulaceae in macroscopy, they do have some similar characters in microscopy, for example, the globose spores in Radulomyces and pleural basidia in Aphanobasidium.

Morphologically, presence of resupinate basidiocarps and a monomitic hyphal system with generative hyphae bearing clamp connections reminds a few similar genera of polypores: Ceriporiopsis Domański, Gelatoporia Niemelä, Obba Miettinen & Rajchenb. and Sebipora Miettinen. Ceriporiopsis differs from Radulotubus by generative hyphae encrusted with pale-yellow crystals and frequently branched, and thin-walled, ellipsoid to subcylindrical basidiospores (Gilbertson & Ryvarden 1986, Núñez & Ryvarden 2001, Ryvarden & Melo 2014). Gelatoporia is separated from Radulotubus by its agglutinated generative hyphae which usually covered by crystals, and allantoid basidiospores (Miettinen & Rajchenberg 2012). Obba differs from Radulotubus by presence of a cartilaginous line on the subiculum with agglutinated generative hyphae, hyphal walls become swollen in KOH, and presence of coarse crystal rosettes in tramal structure (Miettinen & Rajchenberg 2012). Sebipora differs in its resupinate to pileate basidiocarps, generative hyphae encrusted with crystals and cylindrical basidiospores (Miettinen & Rajchenberg 2012).

Phlebiella P.Karst. and Radulotubus share the unique microscopic character of pleural basidia. However, Phlebiella differs from Radulotubus by having smooth hymenophore and warted basidiospores (Bernicchia & Gorjon 2010). Additionally, Phlebiella species are nested into the Phlebiella clade and was not close to Agaricales clade in the phylogeny presented by Larsson (2007).

In the present work, phylogenetic analysis uncovered six genera for eight species in Pterulaceae, but several other genera in this family, e.g. Adustomyces Jülich, Allantula Corner, Chaetotyphula Corner, Deflexula Corner, Dimorphocystis Corner, Parapterulicium Corner and Pterulicium Corner, lack molecular datasets. In order to fully resolve the phylogeny for Pterulaceae, evolutionary information from more conserved gene markers and type specimens of all genera in the family will likely be required.
A key to accepted genera of Pterulaceae

1. Basidiocarps resupinate to effused .................................................................2
2. Basidiocarps coralliform .................................................................6
3. Hymenophore poroid .............................................................................................................2
4. Hymenophore smooth, tuberculate, odontioid to hydnoid ........................................3
5. Hyphal system dimitic, basidiospores fusiform ................................................4
6. Hyphal system monomitic, basidiospores globose, ellipsoid to navicular .................4
7. Basidiospores thick-walled ......................................................................................5
8. Basidiospores thin-walled ...........................................................................................10
9. Basidia pleural, leptocystidia absent .................................................................7
10. Basidia clavate to suburniform, leptocystidia present ...........................................9
6. Gloeocystidia present, generative hyphae without clamp connections ..........Parapterulicium
7. Gloeocystidia absent, generative hyphae with clamp connections .......................Coronicium
8. Basidiocarps simple or branched; cystidia dimorphous ........................................Dimorphocystis
9. Basidiocarps filiform to capitates; cystidia absent or simply conic-ventricose ......8
10. Rhizomorphs present ..................................................................................Allantula
11. Rhizomorphs absent .............................................................................................................................................9
12. Basidiocarps decurved or inverted, basidiospores mostly > 12 µm in length ..........Deflexula
13. Basidiocarps erect, basidiospores mostly < 12 µm in length .................................Pterula
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